

A Compositional Interpreter for Expressions
Talen & Compilers

Last week

ASTs (datatypes) and corresponding folds ...

... applied in several analyses



Utrecht University

Today

A compositional Interpreter for expressions

Lecture notes: 5.4-5

Computing with parsers

Lecture notes: 6



Learning goals

Learning goals:

- associate inherited and synthesised attributes with the different alternatives of (possibly mutually recursive) datatypes (or the different nonterminals of grammars)
- define algebraic semantics in terms of compositional (or syntax driven) code that is defined using algebras of computations which make use of inherited and synthesised attributes
- explain deforestation in the context of computing with parsers



Utrecht University

A compositional Interpreter for expressions



Simple expressions again

```
data E = Add E E
       | Neg E
       | Num Int
```

```
type EAlgebra r = (r → r → r -- add
                  , r → r      -- neg
                  , Int → r)   -- num
```

```
foldE :: EAlgebra r → E → r
foldE (add,neg,num) = f
  where f (Add e1 e2) = add (f e1) (f e2)
        f (Neg e)     = neg (f e)
        f (Num n)     = num n
```



Evaluation

Direct recursion:

```
eval :: E          → Int
eval  (Add e1 e2)  =  eval e1 + eval e2
eval  (Neg e)      =  negate (eval e)
eval  (Num n)      =  n
```

Using folds:

```
evalAlgebra :: EAlgebra Int
evalAlgebra = ((+),negate,id)

eval          =  foldE evalAlgebra
```



Utrecht University

Expressions with variables



Adding variables

We want to write expressions like $-x+1$ and $x+y+y+z$

```
data E = Add E E
       | Neg E
       | Num Int
       | Var Id
```

```
type Id = String
```



Extending the algebra and fold

```
type EAlgebra r = (r → r → r -- add
                  ,r → r      -- neg
                  ,Int → r     -- num
                  ,Id → r)     -- var
```

```
foldE :: EAlgebra r → E → r
foldE (add,neg,num,var) = f
  where f (Add e1 e2) = add (f e1) (f e2)
        f (Neg e)     = neg (f e)
        f (Num n)     = num n
        f (Var x)     = var x
```



Evaluation revisited

What is the value of $-x+1$?

And how about $x+y+y+z$?

<code>eval</code>	<code>:: E</code>	<code>→ Int</code>
<code>eval</code>	<code>(Add e1 e2)</code>	<code>= eval e1 + eval e2</code>
<code>eval</code>	<code>(Neg e)</code>	<code>= negate (eval e)</code>
<code>eval</code>	<code>(Num n)</code>	<code>= n</code>
<code>eval</code>	<code>(Var x)</code>	<code>= ???</code>

x, y, z are **free variables**



Utrecht University

Evaluating expressions with free variables

The value of an expression with free variables is a function

$\text{Env} \rightarrow \text{Int}$

where Env is an environment mapping free variables to integers

Representing environments

Using lists

```
type Env = [(Id,Int)]
```

Insert constant time, lookup linear

Using finite maps (balanced trees):

```
import Data.Map  
type Env = Map Id Int
```

Insert/lookup $O(\log n)$



Finite Map interface

```
import Data.Map as M -- short name to disambiguate
```

```
type Map k v -- abstract
```

```
M.empty    :: Map k v -- not the parser combinator
```

```
insert     :: Ord k => k -> v -> Map k v -> Map k v
```

```
(!)        :: Ord k => Map k v -> k -> v
```



Evaluation revisited II

```
eval :: E          → Env → Int
eval  (Add e1 e2) env = eval e1 env + eval e2 env
eval  (Neg e)      env = negate (eval e env)
eval  (Num n)      env = n
eval  (Var x)      env = env!x
```

```
evalAlgebra :: EAlgebra (Env → Int)
evalAlgebra = (\r1 r2 → \env → r1 env + r2 env
               , \r    → \env → negate (r env)
               , \n    → \env → n
               , \x    → \env → env!x)
```



Where should the environment go?

```
evalAlgebra :: EAlgebra (Env → Int)
evalAlgebra = (\r1 r2 → \env → r1 env + r2 env
               , \r    → \env → negate (r env)
               , \n    → \env → n
               , \x    → \env → env!x)
```

```
evalAlgebra :: Env → EAlgebra Int
evalAlgebra env =
  (\r1 r2 → r1 + r2
   , \r    → negate r
   , \n    → n
   , \x    → env!x)
```




Utrecht University

Expressions with definitions



Adding definitions

```
data E = Add E E
      | Neg E
      | Num Int
      | Var Id
      | Def Id E E -- let x = e1 in e2
```



Extending the algebra and fold

```
type EAlgebra r = (r → r → r    -- add
                  ,r → r          -- neg
                  ,Int → r        -- num
                  ,Id → r         -- var
                  ,Id → r → r) -- def
```

```
foldE :: EAlgebra r → E → r
```

```
foldE (add,neg,num,var,def) = f
```

```
  where f (Add e1 e2)    = add (f e1) (f e2)
```

```
        f (Neg e)        = neg (f e)
```

```
        f (Num n)        = num n
```

```
        f (Var x)        = var x
```

```
        f (Def x e1 e2) = def x (f e1) (f e2)
```



Evaluation revisited again

What is the value of:

`let x=1 in x`

`let x=y in x+x`

`let x=1 in let x=2 in x`

`let x=1 in let x=x+1 in x`

Design decisions:

- We still need an environment, although we can define **closed terms** using definitions
- Inner definitions shadow outer definitions
- No recursive definitions: variable not available in rhs of definition



Evaluation revisited again II

```
eval :: E → Env → Int
... -- as before
eval (Def x e1 e2) env =
    eval e2 (insert x (eval e1 env) env)
```

```
evalAlgebra :: EAlgebra (Env → Int)
evalAlgebra =
    (... -- as before
    , \x r1 r2 → \env → r2 (insert x (r1 env) env))
```



Utrecht University

Q



1 Go to wooclap.com

2 Enter the event code in the top banner

Event code
CYNXXG

 Enable answers by SMS



Utrecht University

Mutually recursive datatypes declarations and expressions



Mutually recursive datatypes

In many real-life situations, datatypes are mutually recursive.

```
data E = Add E E
      | Neg E
      | Num Int
      | Var Id
      | Def Id E E -- let x = e1 in e2
```




Mutually recursive datatypes

In many real-life situations, datatypes are mutually recursive.

```
data E = Add E E
      | Neg E
      | Num Int
      | Var Id
      | Def D      E -- let x = e1 in e2

data D = Dcl Id E
```



An algebra for a family of datatypes

Add :: E → E → E
Neg :: E → E
Num :: Int → E
Var :: Id → E
Def :: D → E → E
Dcl :: Id → E → D

```
type EDAgebra e d =  
  (e → e → e  
   ,e → e  
   ,Int → e  
   ,Id → e  
   ,d → e → e  
   ,Id → e → d)
```



A fold for a family of datatypes

```
foldE :: EDAgebra e d → Expr → e
foldE (add,neg,num,var,def,dcl) = fe
where fe (Add e1 e2) = add (fe e1) (fe e2)
      fe (Neg e)     = neg (fe e)
      fe (Num n)     = num n
      fe (Var x)     = var x
      fe (Def d e)   = def (fd d) (fe e)
      fd (Dcl x e)   = dcl x (fe e)
```



Adapting evaluation (direct recursion)

```
evalE :: E → Env → Int
evalE (Add e1 e2) env = evalE e1 env + evalE e2 env
evalE (Num e) env = negate (evalE e env)
evalE (Num n) env = n
evalE (Var x) env = env!x
evalE (Def d e) env = evalE e (evalD d env)
```

```
evalD :: D → Env → Env
evalD (Dcl x e) env = insert x (evalE e env) env
```



Adapting evaluation (as a fold)

```
evalAlgebra :: EDAlgebra (Env → Int) (Env → Env)
```

```
evalAlgebra =
```

```
  (\e1 e2 → \env → e1 env + e2 env
```

```
  , \e →      \env → negate (e env)
```

```
  , \n →      \env → n
```

```
  , \x →      \env → env!x
```

```
  , \d e →    \env → e (d env)
```

```
  , \x e →    \env → insert x (e env) env)
```



Utrecht University

Lists of declarations



Multiple declarations

We often want to introduce multiple definitions:

```
data E = Add E E
      | Neg E
      | Num Int
      | Var Id
      | Def [D] E
```

```
data D = Dcl Id E
```

(Or create a separate datatype Ds for lists of declarations)



Adapting the algebra and fold

```
type EDAlgebra e d =  
  (...  
    , [d] → e → e  
    , ...)
```

```
foldE :: EDAlgebra e d → Expr → e
```

```
foldE (add, neg, num, var, def, dcl) = fe
```

```
where ...
```

```
    fe (Def ds e) = def (map fd d) (fe e)
```

```
    fd (Dcl x e) = dcl x (fe e)
```




Adapting evaluation

`evalAlgebra :: EDAAlgebra (Env → Int) (Env → Env)`

`evalAlgebra =`

```
(\e1 e2 → \env → e1 env + e2 env
, \e →      \env → negate (e env)
, \n →      \env → n
, \x →      \env → env!x
, \ds e →    \env → e (process ds env)
, \x e →     \env → insert x (e env) env)
```

`process :: [Env → Env] → Env → Env`

`process ds env = foldl (flip ($)) env ds`



Utrecht University

Use before def



Def before use, use before def

Earlier we mentioned for

```
let x=1 in let x=x+1 in x
```

No recursive definitions: variable not available in rhs of definition

Suppose we want to allow

```
let { x = y + 1  
      ; y = 2  
      ; z = x + y + 3 }  
in z
```



Current and final environment

The result type for declarations now becomes

$\text{Env} \rightarrow \text{Env} \rightarrow \text{Env}$

The first environment is the current environment, which we will extend

The second environment is the final environment, which we use to evaluate the expression in



Adapting evaluation

```
evalAlgebra :: EDAlgebra (Env → Int) (Env → Env)
evalAlgebra =
  (...
  , \ds e → \env → let fenv = process ds env fenv
                    in e fenv
  , \x e → \env → \fenv → insert x (e fenv) env)

process :: [Env → Env → Env] → Env → Env → Env
process ds env fenv =
  foldl (\cenv d → d cenv fenv) env ds
```



Summary

- We can define algebras and folds also for families of mutually recursive types, also if lists (or other types) surround the recursive positions
- Often, the result types of algebras are themselves functions
- Function arguments represent information that is distributed over the abstract syntax tree
- Function results represent information that is computed from the abstract syntax tree (and the distributed values)



Utrecht University

Computing with parsers



Utrecht University

Example: Matched parentheses

$S \rightarrow (S)S \mid \varepsilon$

Examples: $((()))((()))$, $()$, $((()))()$, ...

Abstract syntax:

```
data Parens = Match Parens Parens
             | Empty
```




Nesting parentheses

```
parens  :: Parser Char Parentheses
```

```
parens  = Match <$ open <*> parens <*> close <*> parens  
        <|> succeed Empty
```

```
nesting :: Parser Char Int
```

```
nesting = (\b d → max (1+b) d) <$  
          open <*> nesting <*> close <*> nesting  
        <|> succeed 0
```

```
nesting' :: Parser Char Int
```

```
nesting' = depthParentheses <$> parens
```



Utrecht University

(De)forestation

Transform nesting' into nesting



Class instead of abstract syntax

```
class Parens a where  
  match :: a → a → a  
  empty :: a
```

```
parens  :: Parens a => Parser Char a  
parens = match <$ open <*> parens <*> close <*> parens  
        <|> succeed empty
```



Class instances

```
instance Parens Parentheses where  
  match = Match  
  empty = Empty
```

```
instance Parens Int where  
  match b d = max (1+b) d  
  empty = 0
```

Just one instance per type



Passing an algebra to the parser

```
parens :: ParenthesesAlgebra a -> Parser Char a
parens (match,empty) = par where
  par = match <$ open <*> par <*> close <*> par
        <|> succeed empty
```

```
nesting, breadth :: Parser Char Int
nesting  = parens (\b d -> max (1+b) d,0)
breadth  = parens (\b d -> d+1,0)
```



Utrecht University

Summary

The compositional approach to developing compilers can be used in a deforested computation